

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDA
(Minister for Public Works).—

(a) 5,589 acres and 30 guntas.

(b) 4,451 acres and 6 guntas.

(Necessary permission to Sri Madhva Rao Jawalgekar for remaining himself absent was granted.)

Member's Representation

Creation of Separate Malnad Development Department in the State.

Q.—1007. Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY (Baindur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that a separate Department for Malnad Development has been created recently;

(b) if so, the functions of the Department;

(c) whether any special allotment of funds is made for the said Department?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

(a) No.

(b) and (c) Does not arise in view of the answer to (a).

Leave of absence.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before we proceed to the other business of the House, I have to announce that one Hon'ble Member has applied for leave of absence. I have received a request from Sri Madhav Rao Jawalgekar, a member of this House, requesting leave of absence from the sittings of the House, from 1st August 1957 till the end of the current session of the Legislative Assembly on ground of ill-health. In such cases Rule 178 (2) requires that permission of the House should be obtained. Hence I now put the question:

“Is it the pleasure of the Assembly that permission be granted to Shri Madhav Rao Jawalgekar for remaining absent from the meetings of the Assembly?”

The motion was adopted.

L.A.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA (Holenarasipur).—Sir, I had sent an adjournment motion under rule 40 regarding the cancellation of the *Jambu Sawari* procession scheduled to be held on the 3rd of October. The reason that you have been pleased to assign is that the matter does not involve the Government's responsibility. I request the Hon'ble Chair just to convince me as to how the Government is not responsible. Because, in view of the previous Government Order and in view of the wide publications and in view of the arrangements already made, such an abrupt breaking up in the function has arisen; it is indeed a matter of urgent public importance and I request the Chair...

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have already given a ruling. If the Hon'ble Member is convinced that I cannot convince him, I cannot undertake the task of convincing him. I have looked into the whole question. I have found out that there is no Government's responsibility. The responsibility is of the Governor. He has taken action. Therefore I have disallowed. It is not the responsibility of the Government since it is the Governor's action. I cannot allow any adjournment motion in respect of the action of the Governor.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Sir, I know it is not the Government that has taken this decision. But were not the public of the State made to understand that this function would come up on the 3rd October 1957? Was there no Government Order passed in consultation with the Government? Was there no Committee constituted by the Government? Have not the Government taken action in tackling arrangements like the appointment of the Special Duty Officer and other arrangements?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Please make it as short as possible. I will give a reply. It is no use talking much.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ತಳ್ಳಿಹಾಕಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆದರೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಸಮಿತಿ ರಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿವರಿಸಿ ಮಾಡಿತ್ತು. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸ್ತವ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳೂ ಸಹ ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

MR. SPEAKER.—I am not concerned with the Chief Minister. I am not concerned with anything. What I am concerned with is that the Governor has ordered the cancellation of the procession. The Hon'ble Member will remember that it is the action of the Governor and no adjournment motion on the action of the Governor can be allowed.

SRI Y. VEERAPPA.—Is it not a question involving the people of the State?

MR. SPEAKER.—It may involve. I can say one thing. If the Hon'ble Member wants to dispute my ruling, it will be rather difficult for me to carry on. If he is not disputing, I have said that it is the action of the Governor. It is clear from the Press report that the Governor was not pleased to allow this procession. I have therefore disallowed the adjournment motion. It is not the Government's action. It is the action of the Governor on which we cannot sit over judgment.

NON-OFFICIAL RESOLUTIONS

Resolution No. 2 re: Equalization of Central and State Pay Scales.

MR. SPEAKER.—The next item is further discussion on Resolution No. 2. After all, it has been discussed for a whole day; it serves no purpose by having further discussion on this Resolution because the Central Minister has already given a reply in this respect. So I would like the Hon'ble Members to close the discussion and go to the next Resolution. I now call upon the mover of the Resolution to give a reply.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಬೈರೇಗೌಡ (ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಉತ್ತರ).—ಈ ನಿರ್ಣಯದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಷ್ಟು ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೂ ಏಕೀಕರಣವಾಗಿ, ಈ ತಾರತಮ್ಯ ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಲ್ಲರ ಬಹುಮತ ದೊರೆತದ್ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೃತಜ್ಞನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ನಿರ್ಣಯವು ಸರ್ವಸಮ್ಮತವಾಗಿ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂಬುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊನೆಗಾಣದ ಕಾರ್ಯದೂಷಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವಂಥ ಕಾರ್ಯ

ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಕೊಂಡು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಇದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಷ್ಟು ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆ ಮೂಲಕ ದೇಶದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾದಂಥ ವಾತಾವರಣ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ನಂಬಿ ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆಯೋ ಏನೋ, ಅದನ್ನು ಅವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

*SRI T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—With the leave of the Speaker, I want to make one position very clear. This is a very important resolution and the Government of India have set up a Commission to enquire into the pay scales of Central Government employees.

MR. SPEAKER.—After all, it has been discussed for a whole day. A good lot of people have spoken. The only thing is, if the Government have any reply to make, they may do so; otherwise I will put it to vote.

SRI T. MARIAPPA.—The Resolution is no doubt very important, particularly in the context of what the Government of India have done. Even the Government of India when they constituted a Commission for enquiring into the revision of pay scales of Central Government employees, have made it abundantly clear that the background of the economic situation should be taken into consideration, the financial capacity to pay also should be considered, and it has already a vital bearing on the pay scales of the State Government employees. Here what is sought to be advocated on the floor of this House and in this Resolution is that the scales of pay between the States and the Central Services should be brought to uniformity in our State as the difference in pay scales has caused great discontent. I want this House to kindly bear in mind that we are really solicitous about the welfare of our own employees. As a measure of that solicitude we took all possible steps and that too as speedily as possible to announce the new pay scales. If you examine the pay scales announced in January last, you will please see that the scales are really beyond the financial capacity of this State to pay. Yet we have to accept those pay scales with a view to give